

Peers Expanding Engagement in Stimulant Harm Reduction with Contingency Management (PEER-CM)





Linda Peng, MD¹ • Erin Stack, MS² • Gillian Leichtling, BA² • Christi Hildebran, LMSW, CADC III² • Alexis Cooke, PhD, MPH² • Judith Leahy, MPH³ • Kelsey Smith Payne, BA, CADC II, QMHA³ • Ryan Cook, PhD¹ • Bryan Hartzler, PhD⁴ • Lynn Kunkel, MS¹ • Kim Hoffman, PhD¹ • P. Todd Korthuis, MD, MPH¹ • Department of Medicine, Section of Addiction Medicine, PhD² • Properties of PhD³ • Proper

Background

- Fentanyl and stimulant use made 2021 the deadliest year ever for people using drugs in the United States
- Contingency management (CM) uses rewards to incentivize behaviors and remains the most effective strategy for improved retention in treatment and reduced stimulant use
- Most CM trials are conducted in controlled treatment settings, incentivize visit attendance and abstinence, which fail to reach people who are not seeking care

Why Oregon?

- Oregon leads U.S. in methamphetamine use
- The Oregon State Medical Examiner annual reports showed a 70% increase in methamphetamine-related overdose and a 297% increase in fentanyl-related overdose between 2019 and 2020
- Oregon Health Authority supports CM
- Oregon's peer harm reduction network wellestablished

Outcomes

FATAL OVERDOSE

Peer report

NONFATAL OVERDOSE

Client self-report

HARM REDUCTION, SUD TX ENGAGEMENT

Client self-report

Contact Presenter:
Dr. Linda Peng | pengli@ohsu.edu

Study Overview

To compare two different approaches to implementing peer-facilitated contingency management with people who use stimulants.

 Hybrid type 1 effectivenessimplementation framework

DATA COLLECTION ELEMENTS

Client

Characteristics

Revised GPRA

Action Plan

Peer Service

Encounters

& Goals

- Stepped-wedge design to compare:
 - Standard of care: Reinforcers for visits with peer
 - Intervention: Reinforcers for visits with peer <u>AND</u> completing self-identified goals

Intake

Intake &

6 Months

Monthly

At each

encounter

Total Possible

INCENTIVE STRUCTURE

Intake and action plan with peer

Maintenance peer encounters

Completing self-identified goals*

(4 @ \$25 each + swag for smaller steps)

Initial peer encounters

(Weeks 1+2, Month 2)

(Months 3-6)

PARTICIPANTS

People who use stimulants in community

80 participants/site, total N = 1,440

DATA SOURCE

30-day substance use, SUD treatment, overdose/amping,

employment, legal, housing, mental/physical health and

Client-driven harm reduction goals, priority level for

Peer services activities, engagement in harm reduction,

goals, action steps toward goals, goals status

Demographics, referral/engagement source

treatment, social connectedness

recovery support, SUD treatment

Standard Incentives

\$15

3 @ \$15

4 @ \$10

\$100

goals are identified within various domains including overdose / overamping

prevention planning, daily life, treatment & care, and relationships & social support

RecoveryLink dataset

Intervention Incentives

\$15

3 @ \$15

4 @ \$10

4 @ \$25

\$200

Study Aims

- Test the impact of reinforcers for achieving self-identified, personal harm reduction goals on the likelihood of overdose among people using stimulants.
- Determine whether reinforcers for achieving self-identified, personal harm reduction goals increases engagement with harm reduction and treatment services.
- 3 Use qualitative interviews to understand the optimal context and implementation strategies for peer-facilitated CM.

Study Setting

9 Peer outreach organizations serving 18 Oregon rural & urban sites

- 4D Recovery
- Bay Area First Step
- HIV Alliance
- Mental Health & Addiction Association of Oregon
- Miracles Club

- NW Instituto Latino
- Oregon Washington Health Network
- Painted Horse Recovery
- True Colors Recovery

Funding Support from:

National Institute of Health (R01 DA057670) SAMHSA (CFDA 93.788 #H79TI085732)

Slide 1

LJM1 LEAHY Judith M, 2/1/2023

TK1 OK to dispense with department and section of addiction medicine if short on space

Todd Korthuis, 2/2/2023